### HCCA Clinical Practice Compliance Conference San Diego, California

"Monetizing Quality-How to Align Quality with Compensation Models and Payer Contracts"

Jon Burroughs, MD, MBA, FACHE, FAAPL October 8, 2018



### Transformation of a 20<sup>th</sup> to 21<sup>st</sup> Century Healthcare Model

- 1. From a 'sickness' to a 'health' industry
- 2. From leaders of an organization (hospital) to an integrated healthcare delivery system
- 3. Line to matrix authority/accountability and partnership with other key leaders and stakeholders
- 4. Physicians as 'customers' (revenue generators) to strategic business partners (wRVU to at-risk co-management agreements)
- 5. Pay for volume to pay for value (Q/C)
  - (David Nash, MD: 'No outcome equals no income')



#### **Commercial Payers are Committed to Value:**

- Aetna: 50% shared savings/risk by 2018 (e.g. total joints)
- Anthem: 50% shared savings/risk by 2018
- Cigna: 50% shared savings/risk by 2018
- Humana: 75% of Medicare advantage value based (with and without shared risk) by 2017
- United: commitment to value based purchasing with capitated payment models

3



### How do Commercial Payers Incentivize Practices and Organizations based upon these measures?

### Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Tennessee Value Based Managed Care Plans:

- 1. Metrics are collaboratively chosen by practitioners, management and payers based upon practice profile
- 2. Metrics are scored from 1-5 stars based upon negotiated benchmarks
- 3. Each star is valued at \$2 per member per month
- 4. Impact on each practice is potentially \$250,000-\$500,000 (assuming a 2,500-5,000 panel)

#### Methodology: All Steps Require Collaboration!

- 1. Align with all key physicians/practitioners first!
- 2. Hard wire regulatory quality into your system (requires physician approval and support)
- 3. Establish strategic quality goals/objectives (quality plan) consistent with your organization's strategic plan and payer contracts
- 4. Monetize those goals/objectives and create a pareto diagram to identify the 'vital few'
- Create aligned co-management agreements with ALL employed/self-employed physicians/practitioners and stakeholders with a calculable ROI



# 1. Align with all Physicians/Practitioners and Stakeholders first



### What is alignment?

When physicians', managements', and 'governing boards' self interests overlap with organizational interests (e.g. mission, vision, strategic plan, and values) in a synergistic and mutually beneficial way

7



### Mal-Alignment is everywhere! What is the impact of wRVU compensation on....

- Quality?
- Safety?
- Service?
- LOS?
- Documentation (revenue cycle)?
- Readmissions?
- Cost per case?
- Operating costs?
- Margin?



## 2. Hardwire Regulatory Quality into your System



### **Key Components of 'At Risk' Contracts with Physicians** (Intermountain Health):

- Be willing to participate in 'at risk' contracts based upon strategic goals/objectives developed and approved by physicians and management
- Comply with clinical and business 'best practices' as determined by peer group/management (and be willing to be peer audited for exceptions)
- 3. Agree to un-blinded transparency of all clinical and financial data/analytics
- 4. Be willing to comply with value analysis process
- 5. Disclose all potential conflicts of interest and accept determination of deliberative physician bodies

#### What is regulatory quality?

- · Core measures
- SCIP measures
- Specialty specific measures (e.g. STS, ACC etc.)
- NQF 'never events'
- Patient safety measures
- HEDIS measures

Why are these important and what do you want to do with them?

11



#### Hardwire regulatory quality!

- Clinical and functional pathways
- Standardize communications (e.g. SBAR) in high risk situations
- Manual checklists (pre-software)
- Decision support software and default functions
- Clinical and business analytics to monitor for variance (audit!)
- Many organizations are hitting 100% all of the time!



### 3. Create a Draft Quality Plan



#### Where does a good Draft Quality Plan begin?

Your organization's:

- Mission
- Values
- Vision
- Strategic plan



### Methodology to Align Physicians with the Organization's Strategic Plan

Step 1: Create a MS Strategic Plan

Step 2: Create a MS Operating Plan

Step 3: Create performance metrics aligned with payer contracts and strategic goals/objectives

Step 4: Imbed all key performance indicators into all co-management agreements (both employed and self-employed practitioners) with significant incentives

Step 5: Create committee workplans (disease management or precision medicine) to support performance

Step 6: Create performance analytics (and decision alerts based upon benchmarks) to guide performance

Step 7: Create performance dashboards/scorecards

Step 8: Utilize results to inform strategic planning



# Monetize Quality into a Pareto Diagram to create a Strategic Quality Plan



### Obvious ROIs for your organization: What would be the impact on cash flow (and clinical outcomes) if Physicians could lead the:

- Reduction of LOS by 1 days?
- Increase the CMI by 0.1?
- Reduction of adjusted cost/case by 5%?
- Optimization of top box HCAHPS scores?
- Reduction medical errors and avoidable readmissions by 5%?
- Reduction of clinical morbidity/mortality by 5%-10%?
- Reduction of non-value added clinical/process variation by 50%?
- Eliminate that disruptive individual costing the organization so much time and money?
- Reduce the labor (total cost/operating revenue) and supply chain (total cost/operating revenue) ratios?

17

### Please Assume that you are a healthcare system with \$1,000,000,000 in operating revenues and:

- Medicare Part A revenue of \$500,000,000
- Medicare Part B revenue of \$100,000,000
- Average length of stay of 5 days with 10% of total costs generated in the final day and average expenses of \$1,000/day (total of 80,000 patients)
- CMI of 1.7 with Medicare base rate of \$7,000 and DRG weight of 1.7 with 40,000 Medicare patients
- Labor ratio of 60%
- Supply chain ratio of 20%
- Net operating margin of 4%



### Please Monetize for your 'Organization' the Estimated Dollar Value for the following:

- Reduction of ALOS by 1 day
- Increase the CMI by 0.2
- Optimization of value based purchasing from 'average' to 'top decile'
- Increase your MIPS from 'average' to 'top decile' (2018)
- Decrease your labor ratio from 60% to 55%
- Decrease your supply chain ratio from 20% to 17%
- Reduce your cost per case by 10% (total 80,000 patients)

19



#### **Calculations:**

- Decrease LOS by 1 day = \$500 costs (10% of \$5,000) X 80,000 patients =
  \$40,000,000 cost savings
- Increase CMI by 0.2 = \$7,000 Medicare payment X 40,000 Medicare patients = \$280,000,000; 1.11764706 (1.9/1.7) X \$280,000,000 = \$312,941,176 or \$32,941,176.50 incremental revenue
- Increase VBP by 1% = \$500,000,000 Medicare part A revenue X 1.01 = \$5,000,000 incremental revenue



#### Calculations (cont.):

- Increase MIPS by 5% = \$100,000,000 Medicare part B revenue X 1.05 = \$5,000,000 incremental revenue
- Decrease your labor ratio 5% = \$40,000,000 (net operating margin) + \$50,000,000 (5% of \$1B) = \$90,000,000 (new net operating margin) with = **\$50,000,000** (incremental revenue)
- Decrease your supply chain ratio 3% = \$30,000,000 incremental revenue
- Reduce your cost per case by 10% = \$500 (10% of \$5,000) X 80,000 patients =
  \$40,000,000 cost savings

### 5. Create Aligned Co-Management Agreements with Calculable ROIs



### Pareto Chart to Prioritize (first five make up > 95% of total): What makes this more strategic?

- 1. Decrease your labor ratio 5% = \$50,000,000
- 2. Reduce your cost per case by 10% = \$40,000,000
- 3.Decrease LOS by 1 day = \$40,000,000
- 4.Increase CMI by 0.2 = \$32,941,176.50
- 5. Decrease your supply chain ratio 3% = \$30,000,000
- 6.Increase VBP by 1% = \$5,000,000
- 7. Increase MIPS by 4% = \$5,000,000

23



#### **Co-Management Agreements**

- Partnering physician and managerial leaders to oversee inpatient/outpatient services, ancillary, multi-site specialty care (exclusives), service lines, clinical institutes, and enterprises for performance in:
- Quality
- Cost savings
- Service
- Safety
- Efficiency
- Marketing/branding



### Give Physicians a Choice to Go "At Risk":

90%tile MGMA

75%tile MGMA

50%tile MGMA: Minimum wRVU, quality, safety, service, cost-effectiveness expectations with a potential downside

25%tile MGMA

10%tile MGMA



#### Example of an ED co-management contract (Circa 2006):

- 50% base pay (10%tile MGMA compensation)
- 10% quality program and performance (2% bonus for every 20% departmental compliance with agreed upon quality targets)
- 10% patient satisfaction (2% for each 10%tile above 30%tile Press-Ganey departmental scores)
- 10% physician satisfaction (2% for each 10%tile above 40%tile for hospital survey of physicians)
- 10% corporate compliance (e.g. medical records) (2% for every 10% compliance over 50%tile)
- 10% evaluation by President MS and CEO (top potential pay (90%tile MGMA compensation)

### Recent \$1.3 M Contract for OBGYN in West Texas (from 'piece work' to clinical executive): Each segment monetized for HCA

- 1. Above average wRVUs (75%tile MGMA) (FMV1= \$400,000)
- 2. Supervision of four APNs (allowed by Texas State Law) (FMV2 = \$200,000)
- 3. Leadership of Charity OBGYN Clinic (FMV3= \$300,000)
- 4. Leadership of OBGYN Service Line with negotiated clinical and business outcomes (FMV4)(all have calculated ROI for both clinician and management) (FMV4= \$400,000)

ROI for HCA 3:1 (\$3.9M incremental margin to system)!

27



#### Strategic medical staff development plan

Board approved policy that recommends the specific:

- a. Numbers of practitioners for each clinical specialty
- b. Qualifications required within each clinical specialty
- c. Economic relationship within each clinical specialty (e.g. employed, member of service line, exclusive agreement etc.)
- d. Organizational fit (e.g. organizational culture, values, goals, objectives)
- e. Personal and professional fit (compatibility of practitioner with organization)



### Sample 'economic' credentialing and privileging criteria

#### Cardiologist:

- Complete an accredited residency in internal medicine and two year fellowship in interventional cardiology
- Board certified in cardiology
- Employed by hospital based cardiology group and service line
- · Willing to participate in at risk arrangements with group
- Willing to comply with all medical staff and organizational requirements
- Willing to adopt and utilize evolving evidence based clinical, safety, service, and cost-effective practices as determined by the cardiology group and service line

#### Many are "Raising the Bar":

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA MS Bylaws:

"Any practitioner who does not embrace evidence based practices and a high level of professional conduct as determined by the clinical specialties and MEC is hereby ineligible to apply or reapply to this medical staff."

What happens at reappointment?



#### **Summary Principles:**

- 1. Optimizing value-based payment depends on defining, quantifying and proving superior quality and and financial (total cost) performance
- 2. <u>Must</u> have accurate and actionable clinical and business analytics to engage stakeholders
- 3. Improving quality has quantifiable and calculable financial value
- 4. Developing the business case for improving quality lends advantage among multiple projects competing for scarce(er) resources
- 5. Physicians must have 'at risk' contracts to be aligned with payer and organizational targeted outcomes
- 6. Focus on 'vital few' in both your strategic plan and 'at risk' agreements
- 7. Strategic goals/objectives represent moving targets based upon evolving incentives
- 8. Play to win; not to be in the middle!



## **Questions, Discussion, and Wrap Up**



### Thank You for your Participation!

Jon Burroughs, MD, MBA, FACHE, FAAPL jburroughs@burroughshealthcare.com; 603-733-8156

