




Medical Over-Utilization: Healthcare Compliance Investigations recover \$3B / year

- DOJ recovered more than \$3.5 billion in FY 2015 alone.
- Continues 4-year record of recoveries over \$3 billion
 - \$1.9 billion from physicians and providers
 - \$330 million from hospitals
 - \$2.8 billion (more than half) from cases filed by whistleblowers
- Number of *qui tam / whistleblower* suits exceeded 600
 - Whistleblowers received record \$597 m

© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.











- Not participating in the QPP in CY 2017 will result in a negative -4% payment adjustment to the Physician Fee Schedule in CY 2019.
- · Physicians should:
 - Determine if they wish to report by joining an Advanced Alternative Payment Model (APM) program, such as an ACO, or report independently through the Merit Based Incentive Program (MIPS).
 - Determine if they wish to report through a clinical data registry.
 - Consult with their current EMR vendor to determine what registries and MIPS reports are supported.






- Reporting: MIPS or APMS?
- Reporting: Clinical Data Registry or Data Submission by Practice?
- EMR: What Registries and MIPS or APMS will the current EMR vendor support?
- Reporting: Individual or Group?
- Comparing Scores:
 - Which reporters achieve a better score as an individual?
 - Which reporters are low achievers?



Who is Excluded from MIPS?


- Newly-enrolled Medicare clinicians
 - Clinicians who enroll in Medicare for the first time during a performance period are exempt from reporting on measures and activities for MIPS until the following performance year.
- Clinicians below the low-volume threshold
 - Medicare Part B allowed charges less than or equal to \$30,000, or who treat 100 or fewer Medicare Part B patients
- Clinicians significantly participating in Advanced APMs.
- Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA) exceptions
 - Rural Health Clinics, Federally Qualified Health Centers, Critical Access Hospital may have an exception. © 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.



16



- Providers may attain a 100% score when reporting under MIPS. 2017 data will impact 2019 reimbursement.
- Four measurement categories include:
 - Quality (60% for 2017)
 - Advancing Care Information (ACI, renamed from Meaningful Use) (25% for 2017)
 - Clinical Improvement Activities (CPIA) (15% for 2017)
 - **Cost** (0% for 2017, but will be weighted for 2018 and beyond)







- A subset of APM's, which also:
 - Require participants to use certified EHR technology
 - Bases payment on quality measures, comparable to those in the MIPS Quality performance category
 - APM members bear more than nominal financial risk for monetary losses
 - Or, the APM is a Medical Home Model expanded by the CMS Innovation Center
- APM's and Advanced APM's may earn a +5% annual bonus


How does the Payment Adjustment work?
Data submitted affects payment two years later. 2017 data affects 2019 payment.
CMS sets a performance threshold number of points that must be earned through MIPS reporting (maximum=100)
Each point above the Performance Threshold (PT) = higher incentive payments.
Each point below the PT = lower payments.
Physician scores will be posted on sites like Physician Compare and are downloadable by the public.

© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.


20



































Audit Points: Advancing Care Information


- For a minimum of 90 days, complete:
 - Security Risk Analysis
 - E-Prescribing
 - Providing Patient Access
 - Sending Summary of Care
 - Requesting / Accepting Summary of Care
 - For additional credit, choose up to 9 measures for 90 days
 - For bonus credit, report public health or clinical data registry reporting measures, or use Certified EHR technology for improvement activities.
- https://qpp.cms.gov/measures/aci
 © 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.
















Audit Points: Reporting MIPS Quality


- MIPS uses quality measure and reporting from the Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) and the Value Based Purchasing programs.
- Report on 6 measures.
- Report on one outcome or high priority measure.
- Each measure assigned 10 possible points.
- · Bonus points available for certain quality reporting
 - High priority measures (up to 10%)
 - End to end electronic reporting (up to 10%)

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc 0}}$ 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.


Audit Points: Advancing Care Information (ACI)
 ACI was previously known as Meaningful Use.
 Now is a scoring system where meaningful use measure rates are compared to benchmarks, as in MIPS quality.
 131 ACI Performance Points:
 Base Score of 50 points for select measures from MU Stage II or stage III measure sets.
 Performance Score up to 90 points for performance on 8 measures.
 Bonus Points up to 15 points for reporting to a public health registry and joining the CMS Clinical Practice Improvement Activities (CPIA) measurement study.












© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.



Quality Payment Program and Medical Negligence Concerns: CPG's


- The role of CPG's:
 - Not yet considered a Standard of Care
 - May be used as evidence by medical experts in testimony
 - Rapidly increasing number of CPG's
 - Widely accepted use
 - Promoted by medical specialty societies, the National Institutes of Health, and Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
 - Evidence based analysis supports the concept that reducing clinical variability can improve clinical outcomes in many cases.


© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.


Quality Payment Program and Medical Negligence Concerns: Reputational Risk
By 2019, all physicians may expect to see actual individual QPP 0-100 quality rating scores on public internet sites, such as Physician Compare.
Physicians face reputational risk by not participating in QPP, or participating and earning low scores.
Quality scores will become increasingly used by the public, and may become a quality reference in medical negligence suits.
Physicians reporting in groups will have scores only as good as the group score.


© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.

48










Quality of Care Investigation


- 11/08 & 4/09: In two letters, staff complain to the State Board of Physicians of 36 & 41 patients with "unnecessary stents."
- 4/09: Hospital employee who had a stent placed files a qui tam complaint with the Office of Inspector General (OIG) of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) complaining he/she received a stent that was not medically necessary. DHHS joins suit.
- 6/09: OIG begins a civil investigation.

© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.






- 2/10: Dr. Midei is the subject of a highly publicized U.S. Senate Finance Committee investigation.
- 11/10: Hospital settles the OIG's charges for \$22M and enters a Corporate Integrity Agreement (CIA).
- 7/11: Dr. Midei's license to practice medicine is revoked by the State Board of Medicine on the basis of four medical records.
- Hundreds of medical malpractice lawsuits filed against Dr. Midei and the hospital.



Quality of Care Investigation

- 2014: Weinberg v. St. Joseph's Medical Center, Dr. Mark Midei. Plaintiff claims Mr. Weinberg quit his casino development job and lost \$50M after stent placement.
- Phase I Trial: Jury deadlocked on negligence, eventually finds Dr. Midei guilty of medical negligence.
- Phase II Trial: Jury deadlocked on damages. Mistrial. Finding of negligence vacated with prejudice.
- Plaintiff's agreed prior to mistrial to accept a high/low arbitration of \$500K to \$15M. Mistrial payment: \$500K.

© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.



Quality Payment Program and Medical Negligence Concerns: Administrative Burden

- QPP has a stated intent of reducing administrative burdens for clinicians.
- However, it is a significant program, requiring administrative attention to quality reporting measures, performance scores, and their effect on reimbursement.
- Physicians should be supported by strong administrators who understand and can implement the program, monitor results, and guide practices.

© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.

Conclusions Q&A



QPP Service and Information Center

- Quality Payment Program Service Center
- 1-866-288-8292
- TTY: 1-877-715-6222
- Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. 8 p.m., EST
- You may also subscribe to automatic e-mail updates at www.qpp.cms.gov
- Or, e-mail the QPP at QPP@cms.hhs.gov

© 2017 Medical Mutual Insurance Company of North Carolina. All rights reserved.

