Health Care Compliance Institute

Issues in Academic Medical Compliance: Bridging the Great Divide
Physician Contracting Issues
April 15, 2018

Kim F. Bixenstine, J.D., CHC Chief Compliance Officer, University Hospitals, Cleveland, Ohio



INTRODUCTION

- University Hospitals (UH), Cleveland, Ohio
 - Serves 15 counties in Northeast Ohio
 - Nationally recognized academic medical center, including leading children's and cancer hospitals (UH Rainbow Babies & Children's Hospital and UH Seidman Cancer Center)
 - 11 community medical centers; 3 joint venture hospitals; over 150 physician practice locations
 - Second largest employer in Northeast Ohio





Cleveland | Ohiα

INTRODUCTION (cont'd)

- Over 4,700 providers
- Over 25,000 non-physician employees
- Over 1,100 residents and fellows in training
- Total annual revenue of >\$4 billion
- Recognized 6 times as World's Most Ethical Company by Ethisphere Institute



Cleveland | Ohiα

_

INTRODUCTION (cont'd)

- UH Chief Compliance Officer
 - Reports to CEO and Audit & Compliance Committee of UH Board of Directors
 - Reviews potentially higher risk physician arrangements
 - Educates on physician arrangements



Cleveland | Ohiα

PHYSICIAN CONTRACTING/REIMBURSEMENT QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

- 1. Provider Compensation Issues
 - Faculty vs. community what benchmarks do you use?
 - Compensation models incentives for productivity? quality? other?
 - · Incentives for research?
 - Review of compensation
 - Who reviews? (e.g., management only? legal? compliance? only agreements involving compensation over certain levels?)



Cleveland | Ohi α

The Compensation

Plan

PHYSICIAN CONTRACTING/REIMBURSEMENT QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION (cont'd)

- 2. Medical Directorships
 - · Who reviews?
 - What are your policies? (time sheets, how detailed must they be? who reviews? who authorizes payments?)
 - How often is need for medical directorship re-evaluated?
 - · Any difference in treatment for employed vs. independent providers?
 - · What challenges do you face?
 - · Consequences for non-compliance?



Cleveland | Ohiα

PHYSICIAN CONTRACTING/REIMBURSEMENT QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION (cont'd)

- 3. Reimbursement Challenges
 - Shift in percentage of revenue from different payers? (e.g., increases in Medicaid, decreases in commercial payer reimbursement?)
 - Increased denials for reimbursements?
 - More aggressive behavior by commercial payers (e.g., litigation for alleged fraud or non-compliance)?
 - · Push back from providers



Cleveland | Ohi α

_

University Hospitals

- 1. Provider Compensation
 - a. Benchmarks
 - Compensation benchmarks by specialty/subspecialty, position, academic rank, and geographic area
 - Medical Group Management Association (MGMA)
 - Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) (for faculty)
 - American Medical Group Association (AMGA) (for community physicians)
 - Association of Administrators in Academic Pediatrics (AAAP)
 - Association of Administrators in Academic Radiology (AAARAD)
 - Consultant database
 - Average surveys over 5 year period to smooth out large swings in data



Cleveland | Ohiα

University Hospitals (cont'd)

- Productivity benchmarks
- "Business Judgment" Factors; e.g., need in community for specialty, competing offers, historical income, difficulty in recruitment, etc.
- Benchmarks for community physicians usually higher than academics



Cleveland | Ohiα

_

University Hospitals (cont'd)

- b. Compensation Models
 - Uniform faculty compensation plan
 - Establishes procedures for base compensation and incentives
 - Consistent with Fair Market Value guidelines and commercial reasonableness
 - Considerations:
 - Clinical quality, patient safety, patient satisfaction and other PFP metrics
 - Productivity measures (e.g., wRVUs, professional services revenue, encounters, new participants)
 - Incentives
 - Percentage of clinical base compensation
 - Productivity threshold
 - Citizenship criteria (e.g., in compliance with Code of Conduct)



Cleveland | Ohiα

University Hospitals (cont'd)

- c. Review of compensation
 - Higher scrutiny if ≥75th percentile of benchmarks
 - Must document FMV and commercial reasonableness
 - Chief Compliance Officer must approve where:
 - Total Cash Compensation / wRVUs >60th percentile
 - If ≥90th percentile
 - If independent third party appraisal is not unqualified



Cleveland | Ohiα

...

University Hospitals (cont'd)

- d. Research Incentives
 - Start up fee once clinical trial becomes open and active
 - Signed clinical trial agreement
 - Approved coverage analysis and clinical budget
 - IRB approval
 - Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI) training (for human subject research) current
 - Feasibility process and target enrollment set
 - Site initiation visit
 - Successful enrollment of at least 1 study subject
 - For industry sponsored studies (with sponsor agreement)



Cleveland | Ohi α

University Hospitals (cont'd)

- Study visit fee hourly rate
- Successful Closed Trial Fee
 - Site close out visit
 - All funds from sponsor collected
 - Study related expenses charged
 - No open data inquiries from sponsor
 - No serious data breaches
 - At least 75% enrollment based on original target goal
 - No unresolved research compliance issues
 - Study terminated with IRB (unless sponsor requests study remain open for publication)



Cleveland | Ohiα

University Hospitals (cont'd)

2. Medical Directorships

- · Signed by both parties
- · Specifies:
 - Services to be performed
 - Time frame for arrangement
 - Compensation
- · Financial terms must:
 - Be set in advance
 - Be FMV and commercially reasonable
 - Not take into account volume or value of referrals
- · Require contemporaneous time sheets



Cleveland | Ohi α

University Hospitals

- No agreements with "ineligible persons"
- Must be approved by Legal Department and applicable business leader
- Compliance review often requested by Legal if compensation seems high or other concerns
- Legal/Compliance/Internal Audit review on regular, periodic basis and upon management request and when concerns are present
- Issues with:
 - Time sheets always the same
 - Insufficient review of time sheets
 - Need for medical director no longer exists or need lesser amount of service
 - Charging for unnecessary services



Cleveland | Ohiα

University Hospitals

3. Reimbursement Challenges

- · Disadvantageous shifts in payers
- · Rates higher for faculty physicians than community
- Large self-funded employers (e.g., Walmart, Boeing) putting pressure on managed care payers
- · Increases in denials
- More aggressive behavior by commercial payers
 - Aetna case against Northern California Surgical Centers: \$37 million verdict
 - United Healthcare case against Renal Associates (motion to dismiss granted May, 2017)



Cleveland | Ohiα

University Hospitals

- Many different payer rules; rules inconsistent among payers; rules constantly changing
- Providers must ensure accurate billing and documentary support for treatment
- Providers should keep all communications with payers
- · Actions again payers for improper denials and underpayments
 - Humble Surgical Hospital, LLC case awarded >\$13 million to cover underpaid claims and ERISA penalties (reversed on appeal, appellate court found while contract interpretation by payer legally incorrect, no abuse of discretion by payer).



Cleveland | Ohiα

17

Questions?



Kim Bixenstine, J.D., CHC Chief Compliance Officer University Hospitals, Cleveland 216.767.8228 Kim.Bixenstine@UHhospitals.org



Cleveland | Ohiα