Anatomy of a False Claims Act Case

Investigation, Negotiation and Resolution

1

Investigation

Relator's Pre-Filing Investigation and Considerations

- Knowledge of facts involving clear FCA violation?
- Documentary evidence, other proof of fraud?
- Sufficient evidence of "who, what, when, and where" supporting fraud and damages?
- Specific examples of the fraud?
- Damages large enough to justify risks to the relator?
- Level of Government interest in specific area of law and type of fraud? Is it material to the government?

3

Investigation - DOJ's Perspective

- Government may investigate qui tams, non qui tams, agency referrals, self disclosures
- DOJ handling of qui tam investigations
- Basic steps
 - Is there a violation?
 - Are there false claims?
 - Are the false claims material?
 - Did the provider act knowingly?
 - Was the government damaged?

Recent SC Escobar Precedent

Universal Health Servs., Inc. v. United States ex rel. Escobar, 579 U.S. ---, 136 S. Ct. 1989 (2016)

- Key Issues: Implied Certification & Materiality
- Implied certification liability does not depend on whether a requirement is labeled a condition of payment (overruling *United States ex rel. Mikes v. Straus*, 274 F.3d 687 (2d Cir. 2001) and similar cases)

What matters is not the label the Government attaches to a requirement, but whether the defendant knowingly violated a requirement that the defendant knows is material to the Government's payment decision. *Id.* at 1996.

5

Recent SC Escobar Precedent

Universal Health Servs., Inc. v. United States ex rel. Escobar, 579 U.S. ---, 136 S. Ct. 1989 (2016)

- Reaffirms "material' means having a natural tendency to influence, or be capable of influencing, the payment or receipt of money or property" Id. at 2002
- Materiality can be objective OR subjective:
 - Would a reasonable person attach importance to it in deciding whether to pay?
 - Would the government attach importance to it in deciding whether to pay even if a reasonable person would not?

Investigation – DOJ's Perspective (cont'd)

- Applicable regulations and government policy
- Internal and external/third party audits
- Relators
- Other witnesses with knowledge
- OlG
- Responsibility of individuals

7

Investigation - OIG's Role

- OCIG attorney assigned when OIG notified of case
- OCIG attorney coordinates with defrauded agency,
 Main DOJ attorney and/or AUSA assigned
 - Evaluate merits of case
 - Consult with counsel and agent re investigative steps
- Individual liability issues

Self - Disclosures

- Intersection of self-disclosure under HHS-OIG Self-Disclosure Protocol and qui tam filing alleging related facts
- Impact of self-disclosure on civil and administrative resolution to the case

9

Investigation - Defense Perspective

- Indicators that you might be under investigation
- When to retain expert counsel
- Steps to take when you receive a subpoena/CID/request letter
 - What you can learn from the subpoena
 - Responding to the subpoena
- Consider how proactive a role to take
- Missteps to avoid
- Attempt to negotiate resolution, or litigate?
- Individuals and Impact of Yates Memo

Negotiation and Resolution

11

Overview - Negotiation

- Timing can vary
- Objectives of the various parties (DOJ, OIG, MFCU, relator, defendant)
- Key negotiating issues
 - Civil monetary damages
 - Scope of release
 - Administrative remedy
 - Relators' share
 - Attorneys' fees

Negotiation – DOJ Priorities

- Make Government whole
- Deter fraud
- Consider, address views of victim agency
- Discern individual wrongdoers and proceed accordingly
- Assess strengths and weaknesses of case
- Release tailored to conduct investigated and damages recovered

13

OIG Objectives

- Appropriate prospective program safeguards in exchange for forbearance of exclusion authority
 - OIG reservation of rights
 - Corporate or Individual Integrity Agreements
 - Independent review organizations (IROs)
 - Legal IROs
 - Monitors

Relator Objectives

- Monetary resolution of FCA claims
 - Intervened
 - Non-intervened
- Relator's share percentage
- Resolution of any retaliation claims
- Resolution of attorneys' fee claims

15

Defendant Objectives

- Appropriate monetary resolution covering all claims
 - FCA liability
 - Attorneys' fees
- Release of *all* potential claims
- Least onerous compliance requirements possible going forward

Negotiation – Getting Started

- Initiation of discussions
 - When?
 - By whom?
- Mediation
- Who is at the table?
 - Intervened cases
 - Declined cases
- Roles of:
 - Relators
 - OIG

17

Alternative Dispute Resolution

- Federal government committed to ADR in "appropriate civil cases"
 - See: http://www.jamsadr.com/files/Uploads/Documents/Article s/Stevens-False-Claims-Act-2012-11-20.pdf
- Benefits of mediation
 - Objective neutral gives an important reality check
 - Use of an impartial intermediary can change the personal dynamic
- Non-binding

Monetary Negotiations

- Assessment of merits of the case
 - Each party's principled liability assessment
 - Each party's principled quantification of false claims at issue
- Debate over the appropriate multiplier and calculation of penalties
- Sampling and extrapolation to determine appropriate single damages
- Realistic assessment of the respective litigation risks of each party
- The pragmatic phase

19

Key Issues Regarding the Scope of Release

- Defining the "Covered Conduct" to be released
- Defining released parties
- Carve-outs from release
 - Criminal liability
 - Antitrust
 - Tax
- Dismissal of Complaint with prejudice
 - Non-intervened claims

Key Issues Relating to Corporate Integrity Agreements

- Overarching issues
 - Effectiveness of existing compliance program
 - Track record of provider
- CIA vs. Reservation of Rights
- Scope of CIA
 - Definition of issues covered by CIA
 - IRO?
 - Legal IRO?
 - Monitor?

21

Key Issues Affecting Relators

- Relators' share
 - Negotiation between DOJ and Relator
 - How much did Relator contribute
 - How much did Relators' counsel contribute to the investigation and litigation
 - Posture of the case and many other factors
- Attorneys' fees
 - Negotiation between Provider and Relator

Other Key Issues

- Impact of state law claims
 - State FCAs
 - States as parties
 - Role of NAMFCU
- Relationship to other litigation with Relators
- Issues that may arise from increased focus on individual liability
- Clarity of rules going forward
 - Applicability to all like providers
 - "Leveling the playing field"

23

Resolution: Settlement Agreement

- DOJ sends initial draft
- Standard language
- Key terms to negotiate:
 - Covered conduct
 - Released parties
- (Mostly) Non-negotiable terms

Settlement – Other Considerations

- Cooperation
- Individuals
 - Impact of Yates Memo
 - Limitation on Releases
- Who signs
- Confidentiality
- Press release

25

Resolution: OIG-Specific Issues

- Administrative Remedies
- Corporate Integrity Agreement
 - OCIG sends initial draft
 - Standard language
 - Also specific terms based on conduct and provider
 - Negotiated between OCIG and defendant
- Timing issues

Resolution: Relator-Specific Issues

- Attorney fees and retaliation claims
- Relator's share
- Relator's right to object to settlement as unfair, inadequate, unreasonable

27

Contact Information

S. Craig Holden

Baker Donelson Baltimore, MD 410.862.1122 cholden@bakerdonelson.com

Natalie Waites Priddy

Commercial Litigation Branch, Civil Frauds United States Department of Justice Washington, DC 202.616.2964 natalie.a.priddy@usdoj.gov

Amy L. Easton

Phillips and Cohen LLP Washington, DC 202.833.4567 aeaston@phillipsandcohen.com

Tamara T. Forys

United States Department of Health and Human Services—Office of Inspector General Washington, DC 202-205-2997 tamara.forys@oig.hhs.gov