# THE HOLLOWED-OUT AMERICAN NURSING HOME: USING PRIVATE LAW TO POLICE POOR QUALITY CARE AND EXPAND OWNER RESPONSIBILITIES

Barry R. Furrow\*

#### **ABSTRACT**

The United States has more than 26,000 nursing homes, caring for more than 1.4 million residents.<sup>1</sup> About 70 percent of these nursing homes are now operated by for-profit corporations, 24 percent are not-for-profit, and 7 percent are government-owned; private equity firms own about 11 percent of these nursing facilities nationwide. Most of these nursing home residents are or will become exceptionally vulnerable and fragile, yet the quality of their daily lives may well be shortchanged by their nursing home owners -- particularly private equity owners.

I will argue in this article that Private Equity (PE) ownership is unique: PE owners are a special class of financial entity driven toward intense opportunistic strategies in order to hit high rates of return promised to investors within a short time frame.<sup>2</sup> These pillaging strategies risk damaging nursing home quality and long-term stability.

The problem with the nursing home industry is that regulatory control is weak. Government regulators are hard-pressed to inspect and sanction the thousands of nursing homes in the United States with annual surveys of nursing homes backlogged. I argue that government enforcement needs a partner -- private law litigation -- to force transparency of ownership, improve remedies for families of injured residents, and formalize a fiduciary standard of ownership that allows the use of tools to claw back excess profits and constrain bad nursing home management.

My argument proceeds in three steps. First, I will examine the unique financial incentives baked into the private equity model of profit-maximizing ownership. Second, I will examine the development of corporate negligence doctrine. Third, I will develop a model of a robust fiduciary duty, coupled with equitable remedies. My goal is to build a private law doctrinal framework to better police the waste, fraud, and pillaging of assets by private equity owners of nursing home systems.

<sup>\*</sup> Professor of Law and Director, the Health Law Program, Kline School of Law, Drexel University. Many thanks to participants in the Petri-Flom Conference on Private Law at the Harvard Law School for their helpful suggestions, and particularly Lauren Roth. This is a chapter in a forthcoming book tentatively titled *Health Law as Private Law: Pathology or Pathway?* (Cambridge University Press 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Abby McCain, 25 INSIGHTFUL NURSING HOME STATISTICS [2023]: RESIDENTS, LOCATIONS, AND LONG-TERM CARE (March 20, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eileen O'Grady, Private Equity Stakeholder Project, Understaffed, Unlicensed, and Untrained: Behavioral Health Under Private Equity 2 (Sept. 2020).

#### **DRAFT**

The United States has more than 26,000 nursing homes, caring for more than 1.4 million residents.<sup>3</sup> About 70 percent of nursing homes are now operated by for-profit corporations, 24 percent are not-for-profit, and 7 percent are government-owned, while 58 percent are operated by corporate chains.<sup>4</sup> Private equity firms own about 11 percent of nursing facilities nationwide. By 2050, up to 30 million people in the Americas will require long-term care services. Most of these nursing home residents will be or will become exceptionally vulnerable, yet the quality of their daily lives may well be shortchanged by their nursing home owners -- particularly private equity owners.

Nursing home care is an industry built on "market" solutions to end-of-life care for the elderly<sup>5</sup>. The advent of Medicare and Medicaid was not based on a model of non-profit nursing homes. As Leslie King writes: "[I]awmakers instead allowed the growth of a system of large, for-profit, chain nursing homes".<sup>6</sup> Early advocates of such privatization argued that a private ownership system could be more efficient --- providing quality services at a lower cost.<sup>7</sup> For-profit corporate entities would strive to maximize their bottom-line goals for shareholders, but the great engine of the "market" in an industrial economy would also deliver benefits for consumers. I disagree. I will show that Private Equity (PE) ownership is unique: PE owners are a special class of financial entity driven toward intense opportunistic behavior in order to hit high rates of return promised to investors within a short time frame.<sup>8</sup>

Flaws and externalities in for-profit businesses can normally be counteracted by regulation, by consumer diligence, and by private litigation. The problem with the nursing home industry is that regulatory control is weak. Government regulators are hard-pressed to inspect and sanction the thousands of nursing homes in the United States with annual surveys of nursing homes backlogged. The NAS 2022 report is striking: "[d]espite significant measures to improve the quality of nursing home care in OBRA '87, the current system often fails to provide high-quality care and underappreciates and underprepares nursing home staff for their critical responsibilities." <sup>10</sup>

I argue that government enforcement needs a partner -- private law litigation -- to force transparency of ownership, improve remedies for families of injured residents, and formalize a fiduciary standard of ownership that allows the use of tools to claw back excess profits and constrain bad nursing home management.

<sup>\*</sup> Professor of Law and Director, the Health Law Program, Kline School of Law, Drexel University. Many thanks to participants in the Petri-Flom Conference on Private Law at the Harvard Law School for their helpful suggestions, and particularly Lauren Roth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Abby McCain, 25 INSIGHTFUL NURSING HOME STATISTICS [2023]: RESIDENTS, LOCATIONS, AND LONG-TERM CARE (March 20, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "These Administrative Actions Would Improve Nursing Home Ownership and Financial Transparency in the Post COVID-19 Period", Health Affairs Blog, February 11, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Leslie King, "How Government Created and Shaped the U.S. Nursing Home Industry", 46 Critical Sociology 881, 897 (2020).

<sup>6</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> John B. Goodman and Gary W. Loveman, Does Privatization Serve the Public Interest? Harvard Business Review (November-December 1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Eileen O'Grady, Private Equity Stakeholder Project, Understaffed, Unlicensed, and Untrained: Behavioral Health Under Private Equity 2 (Sept. 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Senator Robert Casey Letter to State Survey Agencies (September 13,2022) (noting that 71 percent of nursing homes nationally had gone at least 16 months without a standard survey.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine 2022. *The National Imperative to Improve Nursing Home Quality: Honoring Our Commitment to Residents, Families, and Staff.* Page 2. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

My argument proceeds in three steps. First, I will examine the unique financial incentives baked into the private equity model of profit-maximizing ownership. Second, I will examine the development of corporate negligence doctrine. Third, I will develop a model of a robust fiduciary duty, coupled with equitable remedies. My goal is to build a private law doctrinal framework to better police the waste, fraud, and pillaging of assets by private equity owners of nursing home systems.

# I. Private Equity as Opportunistic Fiduciary: Pirates with MBAs<sup>11</sup>

Private equity (PE) investment involves acquisition of a total or partial ownership interest in an entity that is not publicly traded. The playbook of PE is actively antithetical to the operation of a quality nursing facility. The profits are intended to satisfy investors first, not to provide quality resident care. Will show that the financial playbook of private equity risks poor quality for nursing home residents Servenue inflation. Patients suffer from neglect caused by a cost-cutting private equity ownership mode of finance. The PE strategies are cumulative and opportunistic, striving to capture and extract excess profits from every aspect of a nursing home system. Consider the playbook of private equity (PE) firms who acquire nursing homes

- **A. High Rates of Return in a Short Time Horizon:** *Fast Bloodletting.* PE demands a rate of return in 3-5 years of around 20-30%, and typically a firm moves on at that point, selling the new consolidated firm after having extracted value from the firm and often leaving it in poor condition. <sup>19</sup>
- **B. Debt as a profit tool:** *Starving the Nursing Home.* PE loads up acquired firms with high levels of debt and then leverages this debt on the firms acquired in order to pay themselves and other shareholders dividends -- in the order of magnitude of billions of dollars. The acquired company must then manage these high levels of debt. The result often is a high number of bankruptcies; up to 20% of private equity-owned companies have filed for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> For the use of "pirate" to describe private equity owners, see Brendan Ballou, <u>Plunder: Private Equity's Plan to Pillage America</u> (2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Lisa Lilliott Rydin, Private Equity, Venture Capital, and Hedge Funds, HARV. L. SCH. LIBR., (Aug. 17, 2022). (Aug. 17, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Private Equity Ownership of Nursing Homes Linked to Lower Quality of Care, Higher Medicare Costs, NOVEMBER 19, 2021,; Robert Tyler Braun et al., Association of Private Equity Investment in US Nursing Homes With the Quality and Cost of Care for Long-Stay Residents, 2 JAMA Health Forum. 2021;2(11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Richard M. Scheffler et al., Soaring Private Equity Investment in the Healthcare Sector: Consolidation Accelerated, Competition Undermined, and Patients at Risks, PETRIS CENTER May 18, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Financialization in Health Care, supra note 9, at 58; Commercial Real Estate Investor & Private Equity Liability, FIRST NAT'L REALTY PARTNERS (Mar. 2, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> David C. Grabowski et al., Low-Quality Nursing Homes Were More Likely Than Other Nursing Homes To Be Bought Or Sold By Chains In 1993–201, 35 Health Affairs 907 (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Yasmin Rafiei, When Private Equity Takes Over a Nursing Home, The New Yorker, August 25, 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See generally Robert I. Field et al., Private Equity in Health Care: Barbarians at the Gate? 15 Drexel L. Rev. 821 (2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Street of Walls Blog, PRIVATE EQUITY INDUSTRY OVERVIEW (2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Eileen O'Grady, Private Equity Firms Reaped Billions of Dollars in Debt-Funded Dividends from Healthcare Companies in 2021, Private Equity Stakeholder Project, January 5, 2022.

<sup>21.</sup> Id.

<sup>22.</sup> See Everything Is Private Equity Now, BLOOMBERG: BUSINESSWEEK (Oct. 8, 2019, 4:10 PM).

bankruptcy, ten times the rate of public companies.<sup>23</sup> Nursing homes end up reducing worker wages and cutting other resources, further increasing the risk of bankruptcy.<sup>24</sup> Nursing homes end up with little money for resident care improvements<sup>25</sup> and the long term health of the nursing home suffers.<sup>26</sup>

- **C. High Rates of Churn in Ownership:** *Instability as Byproduct.* Frequent shifts in nursing home ownership destabilize both residents and staff.<sup>27</sup>
- **D.** Staff Reduction Programs: *Blood from a Turnip*. Cost cutting is a central PE tool, used aggressively to allow firms to hit their rate-of-return metrics. Cutting billing, legal and human resource departments reduces "wasteful" overhead. The private equity ownership toolkit in particular drains nursing home assets and reduces needed spending on nurses and aides. Forprofit nursing homes routinely determine staffing levels based on census and reimbursement as opposed to resident acuity -- for-profit facilities have 16% fewer staff than nonprofits after accounting for differences in residents' needs. <sup>29</sup>
- **E.** "Related Party Transactions": *Skimming the Till.* For-profit nursing facility executives develop new financial streams by creating complex ownership and management structures—this creates tax advantages and allows companies to move capital from one entity to another. Nursing home chain owners often outsource goods and services to multiple entities in which they also have an ownership and these entities then allow ancillary clinical services to be fragmented and spun off under the corporate umbrella. Such services may include laboratory, radiology, pharmacy, and specialty medical services. PE nursing homes may also make referrals to PE-owned home health care, hospice, mental health, emergency room staff services, and specialty clinics. Services are referrals to PE-owned home health care, hospice, mental health, emergency room staff services, and specialty clinics.

These ownership structures are not a search for market efficiencies and cost-savings: to the contrary "...the owners can establish highly favorable contracts in which their nursing homes pay more than they might in a competitive market. These profits are then siphoned off, not recorded in nursing home accounts, and hidden in affiliated companies."<sup>33</sup>

**F. Multi-Level Corporate Structures:** *Deceiving and Dodging*. Complex layers of entities also shield the enterprise from liability by making it difficult for plaintiff lawyers to pursue

<sup>23.</sup> See Brian Ayash & Mahdi Rastad, Leveraged Buyouts and Financial Distress 4 (July 19, 2019).

<sup>24.</sup> Supra note 21.

<sup>25.</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Jordan Rau, KFF Health News, Nursing Home Owners Drained Cash While Residents Deteriorated, State Filings suggest, January 31, 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> David C. Grabowski al. supra n. 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Robert I. Field et al., When Worlds Collide: The Effects of Private Equity on Health Care, 15 Drexel L. Rev. 101 (2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Charlene Harrington et al., Time to Ensure Sufficient Nursing Home Staffing and Eliminate Inequities in Care, 7 J Geontol Geriatr Med 99 (2021).

<sup>30.</sup> See Melea Atkins, Roosevelt Inst., The Impact of Private Equity on Nursing Home Care: Recommendations for Policymakers 5–6 (2021).

<sup>31.</sup> See Gary M. Kirsh & Deepak A. Kapoor, Private Equity and Urology: An Emerging Model for Independent Practice, 48 UROLOGIC CLINICS N. Am. 233, 234 (2021).

<sup>32.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Jordan Rau, Care Suffers as More Nursing Homes Feed Money into Corporate Webs, New York Times, Jan. 2, 2018.

a defendant with assets. Such strategies often involve deception in financial statements to conceal real income and assets among a myriad of subsidiaries.<sup>34</sup> Without data and visibility, plaintiff lawyers and regulators are all blinded.<sup>35</sup>

The cumulative effect of the private equity playbook is poor quality care and high mortality rates -- private equity ownership increases 90-day mortality by 2.4 percentage points, or 15% of baseline mortality among Medicare residents, adding up to approximately 20,150 deaths over the course of 12 years according to a recent study.<sup>36</sup> Costs to the federal and state governments of paying for Medicaid and Medicare services are also inflated with no benefit accruing to residents.

#### II. Health Care as an Enterprise: Corporate Negligence

The origins of corporate negligence in health care settings began with hospitals.<sup>37</sup> Courts began to notice that hospitals were big businesses—running aggressive marketing campaigns, managing their medical staffs and other professionals, and generally acting like the central provider of health care.<sup>38</sup>

#### A. Corporate Negligence: Responsibility of the "Enterprise"

The doctrine of corporate negligence starting in the 1960s noted that the modern hospital as an enterprise is no longer just a shell for physicians to use.<sup>39</sup> Hospitals have gone from small not-for-profit religious systems to large systems run on corporate principles of revenue maximization.<sup>40</sup> More than half of American jurisdictions have now adopted the corporate negligence doctrine in some form.<sup>41</sup>

Corporate negligence, in the Pennsylvania case of *Thompson v. Nason Hospital*,<sup>42</sup> requires a hospital to uphold a standard of care to "ensure the patient's safety and well-being while at the hospital". *Thompson*'s corporate negligence doctrine mandates four distinct duties for institutional providers: (1) reasonable care in the maintenance of safe and adequate facilities and equipment; (2) selection and retention of competent physicians; (3) oversight of all persons who practice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Yao O. Dinizulu and Jennifer Matta, The Multi-Level Nursing Home Corporate Structure: Transparency, Accountability And Common Sense, 12 Nursing Home Litigation Reporter 3 (2009)..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> David E. Kingsley and Charlene Harrington, Financial and Quality Metrics of a Large, Publicly Traded U.S. Nursing Home Chain in the Age of Covid-19, 52 International Journal of Health Services 212 (2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Atul Gupta et al., "Does Private Equity Investment in Healthcare Benefit Patients? Evidence from Nursing Homes." NBER Working Paper No. 28474 (2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Sword v. NKC Hospitals, Inc., 714 N.E.2d 142 (Ind.1999) (and cases cited).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Clark v. Southview Hospital & Family Health Center, 628 N.E.2d 46 (Ohio 1994) (promotional and marketing campaign stressed the emergency departments); Gragg v. Calandra, 696 N.E.2d 1282 (III.App.1998) (patients assume that hospital physicians are employees.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Alex Stein, Toward A Theory of Medical Malpractice, 97 Iowa L. Rev. 1201, 1229 (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Thomas L. Hafemeister and Joshua Hinckley Porter, Don't Let Go of the Rope: Reducing Readmissions by Recognizing Hospitals' Fiduciary Duties to Their Discharged Patients, 62 American Univ. L. Rev. 513, 546 (2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> See Larson v. Wasemiller, 738 N.W.2d 300 (Minnesota, 2007) (adopting corporate negligence for Minnesota, the court noted that more than half of the state courts have adopted the tort, and it has support in Restatement (Second) Tort sections such as sections 320 and 411.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> 591 A.2d 703 (Pa.1991).

#### **DRAFT**

medicine within its walls as to patient care; and (4) formulation, adoption, and enforcement of adequate rules and policies to ensure quality care for patients. These duties are non-delegable.

Corporate negligence views the modern hospital as a complex corporate management structure with responsibilities to its patients, measured by its own internal practices as well as those of other similar hospitals. Hospitals can in fact be just as opportunistic as any business, susceptible to the temptation to engage in self-interested behavior when their financial interest conflicts with those of the populations they serve. The evolution of the corporate negligence doctrine reflects judicial awareness that health care institutions owe enhanced duties to their beneficiary patients. Corporate negligence has been a large step toward a vigorous fiduciary obligation to care for patients.

**B.** The corporate negligence model expands. *Thompson*, decided in 1991, was at first applied only to hospitals. Next, in *Shannon v. McNulty*, <sup>46</sup> Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs), acting as the primary decision-makers with respect to their subscribers' care, were subject to corporate liability. In *Hyrcza v. West Penn Allegheny Health*, <sup>47</sup> medical professional corporations were added, on the basis that such entities, if they take responsibility for their patients' total healthcare like hospitals, are liable for failing to fulfill institutional duties. <sup>48</sup>

Finally in 2012 the Pennsylvania Supreme Court applied corporate negligence to nursing homes in *Scampone vs. Highland Park Care Center*.<sup>49</sup> The court held that nursing home facilities and their parent corporations were subject to corporate negligence liability for patient harms. This new duty intensifies nursing home incentives to improve the quality of their care to avoid liability.<sup>50</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> See Barry R. Furrow, The Limits of Current A.I. in Health Care: Patient Safety Policing in Hospitals, 12 NE Univ Law Rev. 1 (2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Danielle Ofri, Why Are Nonprofit Hospitals So Highly Profitable? New York Times (Feb. 20, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Barry R. Furrow, Patient Safety and the Fiduciary Hospital: Sharpening Judicial Remedies, 1 Drexel L. Rev. 439 (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> 718 A. 2d 828 (Pa. Sup. Ct. 1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> 978 A. 2d 961 (Pa. Sup. Ct 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> In *McClure v. Parvis*, 294 F.Supp.3d 318 (2018), physician practice groups were added, as entities responsible for a patient's health.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> No. 16 WAP 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> R. Tamara Konetzka et al., Malpractice Litigation and Nursing Home Quality of Care, 48 Health Serv Res. 1920 (2013)

## III. Creating a Presumptive Fiduciary Duty for Nursing Home Owners

A second source of private law is fiduciary law. Courts can use fiduciary duties plus equitable powers to constrain nursing home opportunism, creating a presumptive fiduciary duty that eliminates the need for plaintiffs to make a particularized factfinding in each case.<sup>51</sup>

This nursing home fiduciary duty is built on three foundations.

- **A. Medicaid as a Fiduciary.** Medicaid is the primary federal payer of nursing home expenses. Sara Rosenbaum and her co-authors have argued that Medicaid has a fiduciary duty standard, based on statutory State plan requirements for medical assistance requiring "...such safeguards as may be necessary to assure that eligibility for care and services under the plan will be determined and such care and services will be provided in a manner consistent with simplicity of administration and the *best interests of the recipients*." [Italics mine] (42 U.S.C. §1396a (a) (19), 2006.) <sup>52</sup> This "best interests of the recipients" standard is analogous to ERISA's fiduciary duty standard. <sup>53</sup> Since state programs certify and regulate all owners of nursing home chains, whether non-profit or for-profit, this "best interests" standard of fiduciary duty obligates states and their nursing home managers and owners.
- **B.** The Resident as "Vulnerable" Fiduciary law establishes a norm of special obligations, creating higher expectations than we normally allocate to others in contract relationships.<sup>54</sup> Violation of trust by an agent's opportunistic behavior counteracts "...power imbalances and vulnerability and prohibiting their development through the entrenchment of strict principles on fiduciaries."<sup>55</sup> This concept of fiduciary law fits the nursing home relationship between owners/managers and residents perfectly.<sup>56</sup> The court in *Schenk v. Living Centers-East* emphasized the unique vulnerability of residents in nursing homes:<sup>57</sup>

Residents are in the care and custody of the home on a 24—hour basis, with all their needs necessarily supplied by the facility. Residents are almost invariably in poor physical and/or mental health; they are frequently incompetent and unable to comprehend much less protest any mistreatment or neglect; their families likewise are not in a position to readily know whether injuries are caused by genuine accidents or whether they result from neglect or abuse. [] These various factors make such residents particularly vulnerable to neglect and a variety of possible abuses with detection arguably difficult ... unlike the situation where a presumably competent person seeks a consultation with a physician for a particular problem or even requires hospitalization for a particular malady.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> See e.g. Zaborowski v. Hospitality Care Center of Hermitage, Inc., PA. Dist. And Cty. Ct., 2002 WL 32129508 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Sara Rosenbaum et al., Medicaid and Health Information: Current and Emerging Legal Issues, 28 Health Care Financing Review 21 (2006-2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Leonard I. Rotman, Understanding Fiduciary Duties and Relationship Fiduciarity, 62 McGill Law Journal 975, 1003 (2017).

<sup>55</sup> Id at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Petre v. Living. Centers-East, 935 F. Supp. 808 (E.D. La. 1996).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Schenck v. Living Centers-East, Inc., 917 F. Supp. 432 (1996).

The *Schenck* court then builds a conceptional foundation for the fiduciary duty count in the plaintiff's complaint:

[M]any if not most nursing home residents are in a vulnerable physical and/or mental state. Placing a loved one in such a facility necessarily entails trust on the part of the family as well as the resident. Since the residents reside in the home, the family has comparatively limited access and opportunity to learn if the resident is neglected or otherwise mistreated. If entrusting one's money to a receiver or conservator created a business relationship, one would hope at least in principle that entrusting a valued family member to the care of a business entity such as a nursing home would carry similar responsibilities. [Italics mine.]<sup>58</sup>

The *Schenk* court acknowledges the realities of nursing home care: most residents are vulnerable physically, lack the cognitive skills in many cases to protect themselves, did not "choose" their home, and need a heightened level of personal attention and care. <sup>59</sup> As the *Petre* court noted, there is "…no relationship which better fitted the description of the fiduciary capacity than the relationship between a nursing home and its residents." <sup>60</sup>

# C. Anti-Opportunism: Fiduciary Controls Over "Self-Interest Seeking with Guile"

The third leg of nursing home fiduciary duty is built on the extreme opportunism of private equity ownership in health care, as shown in Part A. The generally accepted definition of opportunist behavior in fiduciary law is that of Williamson. His definition is as follows:

By opportunism I mean self-interest seeking with guile. This includes but is scarcely limited to more blunt forms, such as lying, stealing and cheating. Opportunism more often involves subtle forms of deceit... More generally, opportunism refers to the incomplete or distorted disclosure of information, especially to calculated efforts to mislead, distort obfuscate, or otherwise confuse."<sup>61</sup>

Fiduciary law strives to control such opportunistic behavior: Smith defines "opportunism" as "behavior that is undesirable but that cannot be cost-effectively captured—defined, detected, and deterred—by explicit ex ante rulemaking. .... It often consists of behavior that is technically legal but is done with a view to securing unintended benefits from the system, and these benefits are usually smaller than the costs they impose on others."

<sup>58</sup> Id. at 438

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> See generally Joachim Boldt, The Concept of Vulnerability in Medical Ethics and Philosophy, 14 Philosophy, Ethics, and Humanities in Medicine 6 (2019). See also D. Gordon Smith, The Critical Resource Theory of Fiduciary Duty, 55 VAND. L. REV. 1399, 1404 (2002).

<sup>60</sup> Petri supra at n. 64

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Oliver E. Williamson, The Economic Institutions of Capitalism: Firms, Markets, Relational Contracting 47-48 (New York: Free Press. 1985).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Henry E. Smith, Chapter 13, Why Fiduciary Law Is Equitable, in Philosophical Foundations of Fiduciary Law, 267, Andrew S. Gold (ed.), Paul B. Miller (ed.) (2014).

Private equity acts knowingly and opportunistically through its financial playbook—deliberate pillaging of nursing home, residents, and government payers. Actions by private equity owners of nursing homes are "intentional" – the core strategies discussed in Part A are intended to load nursing homes with debt, build structures to inflate charges to Medicaid and Medicare, and cut staffing and other costs with the predictable results of poor care and fraud on Medicaid.

# IV. Equitable Powers to Constrain Private Equity Ownership

Fiduciary law coupled with a court's equitable tools provides a far more muscular approach to nursing home bad actors than does either tort or contract law limited to damage remedies. <sup>63</sup> Fiduciary law offers future-looking equitable tools to change the private equity calculus for those who invest in and run nursing homes. <sup>64</sup> It identifies opportunistic power used against the vulnerable. It allows vulnerable beneficiaries and their families to demand honesty and selflessness of their fiduciaries. <sup>65</sup>

A. Coupling Fiduciary Duties and Equitable Remedies. Imposing a fiduciary duty on an organization raises the baseline for conduct and the measurement of failure and breach of duty. Fiduciary doctrine can create a presumption of wrongdoing for this class of relationships. Fiduciary law creates legal rights grounded in equity for those who need protection, allowing tolling of statutes of limitation and easing a plaintiff's burden of proof. And fiduciary law can draw on a range of equitable remedies. Equity imposes harsh sanctions against fiduciaries for failing to conform to the fiduciary concept's high standards: these may include *inter alia* the disgorgement of profits or amounts equal to losses avoided, equitable compensation, a constructive trust, an injunction, or an accounting of profits. Figure 1.

## **B.** Examples of Fiduciary Powers<sup>68</sup>

1. **Disgorgement.** In *Rohlfing v. Manor Care, Inc.*<sup>69</sup> the executor of a nursing home resident's estate sued *Manor Care*, the nursing home operator, its parent, and the related pharmaceutical company for antitrust violations, fraud, and breach of fiduciary duty. His goal was to recover excessive fees the resident was forced to pay because of the nursing home's pharmaceutical policies, as well as attorney fees. The court allowed class certification for antirust and RICO claims, Illinois Consumer Fraud Act (ICFA), and the breach of fiduciary duty.

<sup>63</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> David E. Kingsley and Charlene Harrington, Financial and Quality Metrics of a Large, Publicly Traded U.S. Nursing Home Chain in the Age of Covid-19, 52 International Journal of Health Services 212 (2022)..

<sup>65</sup> Supra n. 24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Leonard I. Rotman, Understanding Fiduciary Duties and Relationship Fiduciarity, 62 McGill L. J. 975 (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> For a full list of fiduciary remedies, see generally David F. Johnson, Remedies For Breach of Fiduciary Duty Claims (August 7, 2020)..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Samuel L. Bray, Fiduciary Remedies, Chapter 24, The Oxford Handbook of Fiduciary law (Eds. Evan J. Criddle, Paul B. Miller, and Robert H. Sitkoff) (Oxford University Press 2019).

<sup>69 172</sup> F.R.D.330 (1997).

#### **DRAFT**

Manor Care owned a network of 179 facilities in 28 states, offering a spectrum of services to residents—from "high acuity" (intensive) nursing care to custodial care and assisted living arrangements. Manor Care owned an 82.3% interest in eighteen of the facilities which were required to get their pharmaceutical services from Vitalink Pharmacy Services, Inc. (Vitalink). Vitalink also provided consulting services for Manor Care residents, such as monitoring potential drug interaction problems and reviewing patients' drug administration records.

The court expanded on the nature of a fiduciary duty: "A fiduciary duty exists in relationships where "there is confidence reposed on one side and a resulting superiority and influence on the other.[citations omitted].....It is certainly true that "many, if not most nursing home residents are in a vulnerable physical and/or mental state," [Schenk], which could place their caregivers in a position of confidence and influence." <sup>70</sup>

The court then held that *Rohlfing* had alleged a proper claim for breach of fiduciary duty.<sup>71</sup> The plaintiff in *Rohlfing* alleged that Manor Care charged him excessive prices for pharmaceuticals, thus breaching their fiduciary duties. The court found that Rohlfing had alleged a claim for breach of fiduciary duty, quoting *Quist v. Dorn:* "Courts of Equity will scrutinize with jealous vigilance the transactions between parties occupying fiduciary relations toward each other...." <sup>72</sup>:

Manor Care is an example of the abuse of *related party transactions*, specifically use of a pharmacy service owned by the nursing home system to extract high prices for drugs and drug services. If such a case goes to trial, a plaintiff can seek disgorgement of excess gains -- to be returned to nursing home residents.

**2. Fiduciary Duties of Corporate Owners.** In *Isby Brandon v. Beverly Enterprises, Inc.*<sup>73</sup> defendants were the corporate owners of the nursing home -- the administrator was not a defendant. The District Court considered whether a fiduciary breach could be claimed against non-diverse defendants, the corporate owners of the nursing home. The court stated the elements of a fiduciary duty. A contractual relationship may give rise to a fiduciary duty when: "(1) the activities of the parties go beyond their operating on their own behalf, and the activities for the benefit of both; (2) where the parties have a common interest and profit from the activities of the other; (3) where the parties repose trust in one another; and (4) where one party has dominion or control over the other."<sup>74</sup>

The *Isby Brandon* court held that patients could prove a fiduciary duty at trial as a matter of Mississippi law. It recognized the resident status as "vulnerability" in a nursing home, by analogy to the "ward" of a fiduciary. The patient, says the court, is "...usually permanently invalid and subject to the nursing home". The court continued: "[T]he parties obviously repose trust in one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> ld.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Id. The court did make a specific finding of several elements that justified imposing a fiduciary duty in the case.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Quist v. Dorn, 301 III.App. 264, 22 N.E.2d 729, 732 (1939)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Isby Brandon v. Beverly Enterprises, Inc., Not Reported in F.Supp.2d (2007) D.C. ED. MISS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Id. at 2.

another and has been said above, the nursing home as fiduciary has dominion and control over the nursing home patient."<sup>75</sup>

This case, drawing on fiduciary law analysis, opens a judicial path to plaintiff pursuit of owners who are not the onsite managers, but rather the corporate owners of the nursing homes. It offers state courts the power to unravel complex ownership structures created by PE firms, imposing a fiduciary duty through the mechanism of private law.

- **3.** Accounting for Profits. An accounting orders an inquiry into the defendant's handling of money or property, usually to ascertain the defendant's gains so they may be paid to the plaintiff. Such an accounting is typically given against a fiduciary. One recent example: New York's Attorney General has asked a state court to force the owners of a Syracuse nursing home to answer questions about "pocketing" \$37.6 million in Medicaid funding from related-party transactions. A private litigant can make the same demand for an accounting if the state court invokes the equitable powers available to someone victimized by a fiduciary.
- **4. Unwinding Remedies**. One of the specialties of equity is unwinding and undoing. "Equity, one might say, delights in erasing and not by halves." Judicial orders may include cancellation of documents, avoidance of contracts, reformation, and equitable rescission.
- **5. Injunctions.** As fiduciary scholars write, fiduciary law offers robust remedies that "...must be more severe than against garden variety actors. One method of conforming to this requirement is to employ injunctions against suspected opportunists, and also to withhold injunctive relief from opportunists." <sup>79</sup>

### **Conclusion.** Reducing Corporate Incentives to Hollow Out Nursing Homes.

Vulnerable nursing home patients can benefit from the use of private law tools to move the regulatory focus back to the nursing resident as the beneficiary of a fiduciary owner. Tort liability has beneficial effects on nursing home quality.<sup>80</sup> Fiduciary duties coupled with corporate negligence duties harness private law to force private equity owners toward more demanding fiduciary duties toward their nursing home residents. Private law can have a powerful role in expanding the duties owned by PE firms to vulnerable nursing home patients.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Id. at 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> See Jessica R. Towhey, NY Brings Fresh Allegations for a For-Profit Nursing Home "Pocketing" Medicaid Funds, McKnights Long-Term Care News (June 13, 2023)..

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> W. Hudson R. Unger, Equity Delights to Do Justice and Not by Halves, 33 DICK. L. REV. 248 (1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Bray et al, supra n. 77 at 459.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Kenneth Ayotte et al., Safety Valve Model of Equity as Anti-Opportunism, Northwestern Law & Econ Research Paper No. 13-15 pages 30-31 (March 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> R. Tamara Konetzka et al., Malpractice Litigation and Nursing Home Quality of Care, 48 Health Serv Res. 1920 (2013) (the authors find "...significant increases in registered nurse-to-total staffing ratios in response to rising malpractice threat, and a reduction in pressure sores among highly staffed facilities.")